| Cascade Warbirds Squadron # 2 |
|--|
| Minutes of the Meeting of the Board – 12 February, 2011, Museum of Flight, Seattle |
| The CO called the meeting to order at 1:37 PM |
| Present: Anders, Morrell, Caruso, Clark, Smyth, Jackson, Rombauer, Nadeau, Almstead, Desmon, Teeters, Owens |
| Absent: Sherman, Williams, Kinchen |
| The minutes of the 08 January meeting were approved as read (with the note that the Skagit Fly-In is no longer scheduled for 2011). |
| Greg opened with the question: Are there any major items or ideas relative to our mission statement that are not being addressed? Of the several topics mentioned, it was the consensus that these subjects were already being worked. |
| Committee Reports: |
| (1) Marshallers and their budget: Fred reminded the board that this issue has been turned over to the Exec Bd for 2011 and requires no further board action at this time. The Exec Bd can handle the details via email. |
| (2) Personnel: Frank reported that he is already working on the 2011 Nominating Committee issue in preparation for the January, 2012, election. |
| (3) B-17 visit: Doug reported on the status of talks with King County, MoF, and Clay Lacy. He needs volunteers for the week the airplane is here. |
| (4) Scholarship: Pete reported that applications are way down for this year, with the EAA deadline set for 28 Feb and the Ground School deadline set for 30 Apr. |

| (5) Imminent schedule: (a) Curt is headed for NWCAS later this month, (b) the Puyallup Expo is later this month, (c) an A-10 and the Skyraider will be in Olympia this summer, (d) March's meeting will be at HFF at Paine Field starting at 13:30 hours (there will be no morning session) and tickets will be online |
|---|
| (6) Squadron Aircraft: Frank and Chris Zimmer presented the results of a survey they had conducted of the membership. This survey was designed to obtain baseline information the committee would use as it considered the question of whether a squadron aircraft was a good idea. There was some question whether the committee was on the right track. A motion with second to disband the committee was later withdrawn. The committee was polled to gauge whether they believed this was a viable project; the answers were evenly split among "Yes", "No", and "Maybe." The committee was then charged with (a) defining the concept of a "squadron" airplane, (b) choosing four or five examples of potential aircraft to prepare cost figures, (c) determining how selection criteria will be chosen, (d) suggesting a structure for an ownership group, and (e) assuming EAA changed its rules, whether any such aircraft ownership group would be established within or without the squadron. |
| There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:15 PM. |
| For the Squadron |
| |
| Fred C Smyth |
| Adjutant/Finance Officer |